UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/582,901	06/13/2006	Uwe Skultety-Betz	3719	5001		
Striker Striker &	7590 02/12/200 & <b>Stenbv</b>	EXAMINER				
103 East Neck l	Road	RATCLIFFE, LUKE D				
Huntington, NJ	11/45		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3662			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/12/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No. Applic		Applicant(s)	ρlicant(s)			
Office Action Comments			10/582,90 <sup>2</sup>	1	SKULTETY-BETZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Luke D. Ra		3662			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the to reply within the set or extended period for reply teply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w y will, by statute,	ATE OF THI 66(a). In no ever ill apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION  It, however, may a reply be tine  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>13 Ju</i>	ne 2006					
,								
3)		′—			osecution as to the	e merits is		
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election re	quirement				
0)[	Claim(s) are subject to resur	Clion and/or	election re	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner	۲.					
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 June 200</u>	<u>)6</u> is/are: a)	🛛 accepte	d or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the c	drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	on is require	d if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date			4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wolf (WO2004020941) using Stierle (20050151957) for translation.

Referring to claim 1, Wolf shows a device for optical distance measurement, in particular a handheld device (figure 1), having an emission branch (figure 2 Ref 68) which defines an emission channel and has at least one emission unit (figure 2) for emitting modulated optical radiation (paragraph 3) in the direction of a target object (figure 2 Ref 46), having a reception branch (figure 2 Ref 48) which defines a reception channel (figure 2) and has at least one receiver (figure 2 Ref 88), and having a reference branch (figure 2 Ref 72) which defines a reference path (figure 2), and having switch means (figure 2 Ref 70) for deflecting the measurement signal between the emission branch and the reference branch, characterized in that the switch means are mechanically driven (mirrors moved mechanically in the beam path).

Referring to claim 2, Wolf shows he switch means are driven by mechanical work that is to be performed by a user at a user control element of

Application/Control Number: 10/582,901

Art Unit: 3662

the device (simply pressing the measurement button allows the system to cycle through the reference beam, paragraph 36).

Referring to claim 3, Wolf shows the switch means) are operated by the measurement button for performing a distance measurement (paragraph 36).

Referring to claim 4, Wolf shows the switch means are to be actuated counter to the restoring force of an adjusting moment (figure 2 shows the restoring force is gravity and thus the switching means brings the mirrors up against this force).

Referring to claim 6, Wolf shows he switch means are embodied such that the measurement radiation traverses the reference path, if the switch means are not activated (figure 2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolf (WO2004020941) using Stierle (20050151957) for translation.

Wolf shows a device for optical distance measurement, in particular a handheld device (figure 1), having an emission branch (figure 2 Ref 68) which defines an emission channel and has at least one emission unit (figure 2) for emitting modulated optical radiation (paragraph 3) in the direction of a target

Application/Control Number: 10/582,901

Art Unit: 3662

object (figure 2 Ref 46), having a reception branch (figure 2 Ref 48) which defines a reception channel (figure 2) and has at least one receiver (figure 2 Ref 88), and having a reference branch (figure 2 Ref 72) which defines a reference path (figure 2), and having switch means (figure 2 Ref 70) for deflecting the measurement signal between the emission branch and the reference branch, characterized in that the switch means are mechanically driven (mirrors moved mechanically in the beam path). However Wolf is not clear when switch means closes the emission branch. It would be obvious to have the switch means close the emission branch in the event that the measurement button for activating a distance measurement is not activated because this allows the system to not transmit the light but to avoid a constant switch on and off of the light source during continued use. This is well known and adds no new or unexpected results.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolf (WO2004020941) using Stierle (20050151957) for translation in view of Shull (3953113).

Wolf shows a device for optical distance measurement, in particular a handheld device (figure 1), having an emission branch (figure 2 Ref 68) which defines an emission channel and has at least one emission unit (figure 2) for emitting modulated optical radiation (paragraph 3) in the direction of a target object (figure 2 Ref 46), having a reception branch (figure 2 Ref 48) which defines a reception channel (figure 2) and has at least one receiver (figure 2 Ref 88), and having a reference branch (figure 2 Ref 72) which defines a reference

Art Unit: 3662

path (figure 2), and having switch means (figure 2 Ref 70) for deflecting the measurement signal between the emission branch and the reference branch, characterized in that the switch means are mechanically driven (mirrors moved mechanically in the beam path). However Wolf does not show he switch means are to be actuated counter to the force of at least one spring-elastic element.

Shull shows a similar device that includes a switching means is actuated counter to the force of at least one spring-elastic element (column 3 line 30-55). It would have been obvious to modify Wolf to include the spring elastic element as shown by Shull because this allows the system to work in any dimensional configuration without having to rely on gravity and this is a combination of prior art elements according to known methods to yield predictable results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luke D. Ratcliffe whose telephone number is (571)272-3110. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-5:00 M-Sun.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on 571-272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,901 Page 6

Art Unit: 3662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LDR

/Thomas H. Tarcza/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3662